

REMARKS

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of May 16, 2007. The claims have been amended to overcome the Examiner's objections and rejections and it is believed that the application is now in condition to be passed for allowance.

Claim Rejections Obviousness

The Examiner will appreciate that an amendment has been made to claim 1 indicating that the multiple renderings are independent. The newly cited reference to Han never suggests that the data renderings are independently processed, only that different media is used for printing the same job. This is not unlike the "composite job" discussed in the Background section of the application (note pages 6 and 7). Accordingly, the reference to Han does not involve setting multiple renderings with multiple processings, and therefore cannot support the Examiner's contention that Han in combination with Hansen supports an obviousness rejection of the present claims.


CONCLUSION

It is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance and early notice thereof is requested.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Patrick R. Roche, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP


Patrick R. Roche, Reg. No. 29,580
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582

10/31/07
Date